

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTOMER DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/996,649	11/28/2001	Kenjiro Ito	2204-09T1944AV	AILABLE OF
75	90 01/07/2003		•	"LABLE O
Russell D. Orkin			EXAM	
Webb Ziesenheim Logsdon Orkin & Hanson 700 Koppers Building			YEE, DEBORAH	
436 Seventh Av Pittsburgh, PA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
			DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003	}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4				
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/996,649	ITO ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner BEO.	Art Unit				
	Deborah Yee	A CA				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dale of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office laler than three months after the mailin eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  s will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ TI	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No. <u>09/471,957</u> .				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/996,649

Art Unit: 1742



## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokoi et al (US Patent No. 6,053,991), Ishii et al (US Patent No. 5,779,821) or Harris et al (US Patent 2,905,577 which was submitted by applicant).

Each reference teaches specific abrasion resistant steel alloy examples which meet the claimed composition. See Ishii, Table 1, columns 7 and 8; Yokoi, Table 1, column 7, and Table 3, column 9; and Harris, Tables in columns 1 and 2. Even though Ti, Nb, Zr, V and/or W present in the form of carbide precipitates distributed within a steel matrix in an amount of at least 0.1 wt% as recited by the claims is not disclosed by prior art, such would be inherently expected since compositional limitations are met, and also prior art teaches these Ti, Nb, Zr, V and/or W combine with carbon to form carbides by precipitation hardening (see Ishii, lines 3 to16,col.5 and lines15 to 35,col.6; Yokoi,lines 5 to 25,col.5, and M7C3 carbies are present at 1 to 9% to improve abrasion resistance; and Harris, lines 55 to 60, column 1). Moreover, it is well known in the art and also taught by the cited prior art that carbides in a steel matrix increase abrasion resistance. Hence increasing the wt% of carbides in steel would produce no more than the known and expected effect of such an increase which is a higher abrasion

Application/Control Number: 09/996,649

Art Unit: 1742

resistance. Hence the differences, if any, between the prior art and please invention would amount to no more than routine optimization of carbides to achieve the desired balancing of properties which is well within the skill of the artisan and productive of no new and unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 703-308-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-873-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

dy December 27, 2002

> DEBORAH YEE PRIMARY EXAMINER